

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 10084155 0

# MATERIALS ON PROPERTY

## PART I

D. Mendes da Costa  
*Faculty of Law*  
University of Toronto

---

1975-76 EDITION

---

Faculty of Law  
*University of Toronto*  
Toronto, Ontario

Stone  
KF  
S60  
M4  
1975  
p.1



MATERIALS ON PROPERTY

PART I

D. Mendes da Costa  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

1975-76 EDITION

Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto  
Toronto, Ontario



# C O N T E N T S - P A R T 1

## PERSONAL PROPERTY

### PREFACE

## CHAPTER 1

Problem	1.1.1
Tucker v. Farm and General Investment Trust Ltd.	1.1.2

## THE MEANING OF PROPERTY

Rapistan Canada Ltd. v. Minister of National Revenue	1.1.5
International News Service v. The Associated Press	1.1.8
Rex v. MacEwen	1.1.15
Victoria Park Racing and Recreation Grounds Company Ltd. v. Taylor	1.1.18
Krouse v. Chrysler Canada Ltd.	1.1.23
The Privacy Act (Manitoba)	1.1.27

## Section 2 DISTINCTION BETWEEN REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY

Aigler, Smith and Tefft, Cases on Property	1.2.1
--	-------

## CHAPTER II

## THE ELEMENTS OF POSSESSION

### Section 1 ACQUISITION OF POSSESSION OF THINGS ABANDONED OR NOT FORMERLY OWNED

Pierson v. Post	2.1.1
Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property	2.1.3
State of Ohio v. Shaw	2.1.4

### Section 2 POSSESSION ONCE ACQUIRED WILL BE DEEMED TO CONTINUE

Campbell v. Hedley	2.2.1
Ghen v. Rich	2.2.7
Holmes, The Common Law	2.2.9
M.V. "Polar Star Et al." v. Arsenault Et Al.	2.2.10

### Section 3 OWNERSHIP IN RELATION TO POSSESSION

→ Wilson v. Lombank Ltd.	2.3.1
--------------------------	-------

## CHAPTER III

## POSSESSION AND THE FINDING CASES

Problem	3.1.1
---------	-------

### Section 1 ELEMENTS OF FINDING

Eads et al v. Brazelton	3.1.2
The Tubantia	3.1.5
✓ Keron v. Cashman	3.1.9
Edmonds v. Ronella	3.1.10





Section 2 RIGHTS OF FINDER AGAINST OTHER CLAIMANTS

Armory v. Delamirie	3.2.1
R. v. Slavin	3.2.2
The Criminal Code	3.2.3
✓ Grafstein v. Holme and Freeman	3.2.4
White v. Alton-Lewis Ltd. et al.	3.2.12
Haynen v. Mundle	3.2.17
Buell v. Foley	3.2.17
The Toronto Daily Star	3.2.18
Moffatt and another v. Kazana	3.2.19
H.E. Dibble Ltd. v. Moore West, Third Party	3.2.23
The Law of Property Act, 1925	3.2.24
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	3.2.24

Section 3 RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF FINDER IN RELATION TO OWNER

Isaack v. Clark	3.3.1
Nicholson v. Chapman	3.3.1
The Railways Act	3.3.3
Problems	3.3.4

CHAPTER IVEXTINGUISHMENT OF TITLE

Problem	4.1.1
---------	-------

Section 1 THE PERIOD OF LIMITATION

Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969)	4.1.2
The Limitations Act	4.1.2
Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969)	4.1.3

Section 2 EXTINGUISHMENT OF RIGHT

Statute of Limitations	4.2.1
Chapin et al v. Freeland	4.2.1
Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969)	4.2.4
Re Karkut and Highway Traffic Board (Sask.) et al.	4.2.7

Section 3 DETINUE AND CONVERSION

Clayton v. LeRoy	4.3.1
Wilkinson v. Verity	4.3.3
Thomas Brown and Sons Ltd. v. Fazal Deen and another	4.3.5

Section 4 ABSENCE OF KNOWLEDGE

Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969)	4.4.1
The Limitations Act	4.4.4
Eddis v. Chichester Constable	4.4.5
Problem	4.4.9

CHAPTER VBAILMENTSSection 1 INTRODUCTION

Mears v. Sayers	5.1.1
-----------------	-------





Section 2 NATURE OF AND DISTINCTION BETWEEN BAILMENTS  
AND SIMILAR TRANSACTIONS

Bata v. City Parking Canada Ltd.	5.2.1
Heffron v. Imperial Parking Co. et al.	5.2.4
B.G. Transport Service Ltd. v. Marston Motors Co. Ltd.	5.2.9
Martin v. Town n' Country Delicatessen Ltd.	5.2.17
Allison Concrete Ltd. v. Canadian Pacific Ltd.	5.2.19
Seaspan International Ltd. et al. v. The Ship "Kostis Prois" et al.	5.2.21
Note	5.2.26
Crawford v. Kingston and Johnston	5.2.27
Wiebe v. Lepp	5.2.28

Section 3 DUTIES OF BAILEES

Southcote's Case	5.3.1
Coggs v. Bernard	5.3.2
Morris v. C.W. Martin & Sons Ltd.	5.3.4
Fairley & Stevens (1966) Ltd. v. Goldsworthy	5.3.10
Desjardins v. Theriault	5.3.17
Edwards v. Newland & Co.; E. Burchett, L.D. Third Party	5.3.19
Woods Motors Ltd. v. MacTavish et al.	5.3.24
Brookins v. Canadian National Railway Co. et al.	5.3.26
Mansfield Importers and Distributors Ltd. v. Casco Terminals Ltd.	5.3.29
Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce v. Doucette	5.3.32
National Trust Co. Ltd. v. Wong Aviation Ltd. et al.	5.3.36
Ware Chemical of Canada Ltd. v. Cosmos Chemical Ltd.	5.3.42
Canadian National Railway Co. v. Hammill	5.3.43
United Refrigerator Parts Co. Ltd. v. Consolidated Fastfrate Ltd. et al.	5.3.47

Section 4 DUTIES OF BAILORS

Coleshaw v. Lipsett	5.4.1
MacTague v. Inland Lines Ltd.	5.4.2

Section 5 EXCULPATORY CLAUSES

Rutter v. Palmer	5.5.1
Woolmer v. Delmer Price Ltd.	5.5.3
Hunt & Winterbotham (West of England) Ltd. v. B.R.S. (Parcels) Ltd.	5.5.5
Samuel Smith & Sons Ltd. v. Silverman	5.5.17
Mendelssohn v. Normand	5.5.20
McCutcheon v. David MacBrayne Ltd.	5.5.23
British Crane Hire Corp. v. Ipswich Plant Hire Ltd.	5.5.27
Leva v. Lam et al.	5.5.28

Section 6 RIGHT OF BAILEE TO SUE THIRD PERSON

The Winkfield	5.6.1
Thorne v. MacGregor	5.6.6
Associates Discount Corporation v. Gillineau	5.6.8
Courtenay v. Knutson	5.6.10

Section 7 RIGHT OF BAILOR TO SUE THIRD PERSON

Donald v. Suckling	5.7.1
Chapman v. Robinson and Ferguson	5.7.3

Section 8 SPECIAL BAILMENTS - INNKEEPERS

Laing v. Allied Innkeepers Ltd.	5.8.1
Theeman v. Forte Properties Ltd.	5.8.7
The Innkeepers Act	5.8.14



CHAPTER VIGIFTSSection 1 INTRODUCTION

McIntyre v. Royal Trust Co.	6.1.1
Problem	6.1.2
Irons v. Smallpiece	6.1.3
Cochrane v. Moore	6.1.4
Brown, The Law of Personal Property	6.1.10
Thomas v. Times Book Co. Ltd.	6.1.11
Nesbitt v. Chester et al.	6.1.16
Kingsmill v. Kingsmill	6.1.20
In Re Cole, A Bankrupt ex Parte the Trustee of the Property of the Bankrupt	6.1.23
Note	6.1.29
Problem	6.1.30

Section 2 CHOSE IN ACTION

Sanderson v. Halstead	6.2.1
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	6.2.6
Kellas et al. v. Chapman	6.2.9

Section 3 DISCLAIMER

Re Paradise Motor Co. Ltd.	6.3.1
----------------------------	-------

CHAPTER VIIGIFTS MORTIS CAUSASection 1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Thompson v. Mechan	7.1.1
--------------------	-------

Section 2 CHOSE IN ACTION

Re Kuyat Dreyer and Dreyer v. Official Administrator	7.2.1
Randell's Estate v. Randell et al.	7.2.3
Problem	7.2.5

CHAPTER VIIIFACTORS VITIATING AN OTHERWISE VALID GIFT

Crossley Vaines, Personal Property	8.1.1
In re Craig, Meneces v. Middleton	8.1.2
Public Trustee v. Skoretz	8.1.7
Slovchenko v. Toronto-Dominion Bank and Mereshko	8.1.13
Rushford v. Hunchuk	8.1.16
The Evidence Act	8.1.20
Stoppel v. Loesner	8.1.21

CHAPTER IXTHE TRUST COMPAREDSection 1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

The Statute of Frauds	9.1.1
Richards v. Delbridge	9.1.2
Re Mellen	9.1.4
Davies v. Bonnell	9.1.5
Anderson v. Patton	9.1.12
Crason et al. v. Wilson et al.	9.1.15



CHAPTER X

GIFTS OF LAND

McGarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.1.1
Dauphinee v. Height et al.	10.1.5
Land Titles Act	10.1.12





## PREFACE

These materials are prepared for teaching purposes only. They are provided only for the purpose of discussion in class. They are to be used for no other purpose. The aim of these materials is to introduce the student to some general principles relating to the Law of Real and Personal Property. Volume 1 deals with some aspects of the Law of Personal Property. Volumes 2 to 5 are concerned with some aspects of the Law of Real Property and also with Leaseholds.

This material does not purport to be comprehensive or exhaustive. Further, it is compiled on the assumption that other topics, including, for example, land use planning, land finance, land development, real estate transactions, community planning, environmental control, and expropriation ~~are~~ dealt with later in the curriculum. These topics are not included within the scope of this Casebook.

